

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/674,268	09/29/2003	Michael Fantuzzi	33503/US	3101	
7590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER			
Scott D. Rothenberger			KOSSON, F	KOSSON, ROSANNE	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP Intellectual Property Department 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651		
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402-1498	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/674,268	FANTUZZI, MIC	HAEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	<u> </u>	Rosanne Kosson	1651					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, r oly within the statutory minimum I will apply and will expire SIX (6 le, cause the application to becc	nay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered tim ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{071}$							
	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-15, 17-20, 22 and 23 is/are reclaim(s) 3.16 and 24 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	from consideration. ejected.	t.					
Applicati	on Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objecte e drawing(s) be held in al ction is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 (	` '				
Priority II	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) [ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureatee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received onty documents have l au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No Deen received in this Nationa	al Stage				
Attachment	i(s)							
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03.6/7/04 71/3/04	Pape 5) D Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) rr No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application (P <sup>o</sup> r:	TO-152)				
S. Patent and Ti	ademark Office			, ,				

Art Unit: 1651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-10 in the reply filed on February 7, 2005 is acknowledged.

The remaining claims of Groups II-IV, claims 11-13, as well as the claims of Groups V and VI, claims 14-20 and 22-24, are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Claim 21 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Accordingly, claims 1-20 and 22-24 are examined on the merits herewith.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 16 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 1-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for dissolving coenzyme Q-10 (hereinafter referred to as coQ) in limonene, does not reasonably provide enablement for dissolving coQ in any monoterpene solvent or in perillyl alcohol. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Specifically, claim 1 recites a composition comprising a sufficient quantity of a monoterpene suitable to solubilize coQ, and claims 4 recites that the monoterpene is perillyl alcohol. Claim 14 recites a soft gelatin capsule comprising coQ solubilized in a monoterpene, and claim 17 recites that the monoterpene is perillyl alcohol. Claim 22 recites a packaged nutraceutical comprising coQ solubilized in a monoterpene.

Instant claims 1, 14 and 22 read on dissolving coQ in any monoterpene. As noted in the specification (see p. 5, paragraph 23), monoterpenes include compounds with varying structures and solubility properties. For example, the compounds limonene, pinene, nerol, menthane, safrol and geraniol each have different properties as solvents, as shown by solubility data from the CRC (see enclosed pages from the Table of physical constants of organic compounds, <u>CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 51<sup>st</sup> Ed.</u>, R.C. Weast, ed., The Chemical Rubber Co., Cleveland, 1970, pp. C-309, 356, 364, 392, 434 and 488). Instant claims 4 and 17 read on dissolving coQ in perillyl alcohol. Instant claims 3, 16 and 24 read on dissolving coQ in a limonene derivative. Apart from limonene, the specification provides no indication as to which monoterpenes coQ is soluble in or the range of solubility- or any quantitative solubility

Art Unit: 1651

data- for any one solvent. Thus, Applicant has not demonstrated that coQ is soluble in any monoterpene but limonene. As a result, the scope of the instant claims is not commensurate with the enablement of the instant disclosure, because practice of the claimed invention would require undue experimentation by an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to determine which monoterpenes may be used to dissolve limonene.

The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required are summarized In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). The court in Wands states: "Enablement is not precluded by the necessity for some experimentation such as routine screening. However, experimentation needed to practice the invention must not be undue experimentation. The key word is 'undue,' not 'experimentation.'" (Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1404). Clearly, enablement of a claimed invention cannot be predicated on the basis of quantity of experimentation required to make or use the invention. "Whether undue experimentation is needed is not a single, simple factual determination, but rather is a conclusion reached by weighing many factual considerations." (Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1404). The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required include: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary (immense, because Applicants have provided no information for dissolving coQ in any monoterpene solvent but limonene), (2) the amount or direction or guidance presented (none, apart from examples with limonene), (3) the presence or absence of working examples (none with respect to any monoterpene but limonene), (4) the nature of the invention (the solvent properties of monoterpenes apart from limonene have not been demonstrated), (5) the state of the

prior art (no monoterpenes that dissolve coQ are known), (6) the relative skill of those in the art (very high, that of highly trained research scientist), (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art (zero because the behavior of untested materials is inherently unpredictable), and (8) the breadth of the claims (broad, as discussed above).

Accordingly, claims 1-20 and 22-24 fail to satisfy the enablement requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosanne Kosson whose telephone number is 571-272-2923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-6:00, with alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rosanne Kosson Examiner Art Unit 1651

rk

2005-03-02

ROBERT A. WAX PRIMARY EXAMINER

At Unt 1653